IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

United States of America,) CRIMINAL NO. 3:11-2129-CMC
v.	OPINION and ORDER
Dwayne Lemont Budden,)
Defendant.)

This matter is before the court on Defendant's motion requesting termination of supervised release. ECF No. 81. The United Probation Office has notified this court that it recommends that Defendant's supervision not be terminated at this time; the United States Attorney's Office has indicated that it agrees with the position of the United States Probation Office.

Title 18 United States Code Section 3583(e) provides that

The court may, after considering the factors set forth in section 3553(a)(1), (a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(C), (a)(2)(D), (a)(4), (a)(5), (a)(6), and (a)(7)--

(1) terminate a term of supervised release and discharge the defendant released at any time after the expiration of one year of supervised release, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure relating to the modification of probation, if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by the conduct of the defendant released and the interest of justice

Considerations contained in § 3553 include, *inter alia*, the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant; the ability to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct; the need to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant; and the need to provide the defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner. 18 U.S.C. § 3553.

"The plain language of the statute illustrates that § 3583(e), in the typical case, allows a

conduct-based inquiry into the continued necessity for supervision after the individual has served one full year on supervised release." *United States v. Pregent*, 190 F.3d 279, 282-83 (4th Cir. 1999). However, the statute

is not exclusively limited to considerations of conduct. The language of the statute notes that the district court 'may' terminate supervised release 'if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by the conduct of the defendant released and the interest of justice.' The phrase 'the interest of justice' does give the district court latitude to consider a broad range of factors in addition to an individual's behavior in considering whether to terminate the supervised release period.

Id. at 283 (citation omitted).

Defendant is employed and appears to be in full compliance with the other conditions of his supervision. However, Defendant has only completed slightly more than one-third of the term of supervised release imposed as part of his original sentence. If Defendant completes without incident two of the three years of supervised release which were imposed as part of his original sentence, the court will consider exercising its discretion and terminating Defendant's supervised release at that time. Defendant's motion for termination of supervised release is therefore denied without prejudice to Defendant's right to re-petition the court for termination of supervision at that time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina April 24, 2014

¹The court notes that Defendant's request for termination of supervision indicates that his employment requires out of state travel. Defendant is permitted, with prior approval of the United States Probation Office, to travel out of state. Accordingly, this need is not in any way hampered by the terms of Defendant's supervision.